

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ful

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,296	09/17/2003	Toshiki Hirano	HSJ920030230US1	4582
7:	590 11/16/2006		EXAM	INER
WAGNER MURABITO & HAO LLP			KAPADIA, VARSHA A	
123 WESTRIDGE DRIVE WATSONVILLE, CA 95076			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	-
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,296	HIRANO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Varsha A. Kapadia	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/29/6 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Extended 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	election requirement.	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application to the documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/664,296

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to communication filed on August 29, 2006.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Imaino et al (5,929,326).

With regards to claim 1, Imaino et al disclose a disk drive (see fig.7) comprising: a base casting and at least one disk surface coupled to the base casting (See fig.7 element 100 and disclosure thereof); an actuator assembly for arcuately positioning at least one slider over the disk surface (See fig.7 element 138, 134 and disclosure thereof); a suspension load beam having a dimple (see fig.1 elements 18,15, 20 and disclosure thereof); a laminated flexure (see figs. 1-2 element 17,35,36,39, figs. 3-4 and disclosure thereof and col.4 lines 33-35) coupled to the suspension load beam (18), the flexure having a surface adapted to receive a slider and a surface adapted to contact dimple (see fig.3 element 63, fig.4 element 73 and disclosure thereof; and the paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5), the flexure including a head-disk interaction sensor integral with the flexure for (see fig.3 element 60,68; fig.4 elements 70,78 and disclosure thereof) outputting a sensor signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,296

Art Unit: 2627

With regards to claim 2, Imaino et al disclose a head-disk interaction sensor is an accelerometer (see col.1 lines 3-6 and col.3 lines 12-32, elements 60,68,70,78 and disclosure thereof).

With regards to claims 3 and 5, Imaino et al disclose a head-disk interaction sensor further includes a pressure sensor sensing pressure between the flexure and the dimple (see col.1 lines 3-6 and lines 48-54 and col.3 lines 12-32, elements 60,68,70,78 and disclosure thereof).

With regards to claims 4, 6 and 10-12, Imaino et al disclose that the sensor includes a piezoelectric layer and a conductive layer, each being formed as a layer of the laminated flexure and being patterned to correspond to a top surface of a back portion of the slider (see figs. 3-4 disclosure thereof, the paragraph bridging cols. 4 and 5 and col.5 line 57 to col.6 lines 28).

With regards to claims 8-9, Imaino et al disclose that the piezoelectric material layer and the conductive material layer are patterned to be a various shapes (see col.3 lines 11-15 and col.4 lines 12-22).

With regards to claim 7, Imaino et al disclose that the piezoelectric material layer generates voltage between a top and bottom portion of the layer when the slider contacts the disk (see col. 3. lines 20-32, figs. 5-7 and disclosure thereof).

With regards to claims 13-22 Imaino et al discloses write inhibit circuit for inhibiting write operation responsive to sensor signal including a filter circuit conditioning the sensor signal; (see col.1 lines 3-6; wherein the U.S. Pat. No. 5,423,207 incorporated by reference is relied upon for filter circuit capabilities including low-pass filter; high-pass filter, bandpass filter and passband filter limitations as recited in the claims 13-22.)

Application/Control Number: 10/664,296

Art Unit: 2627

Response To Remarks

Applicant's arguments filed on August 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "a head-disk interaction sensor that is integrated within the flexure") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). What is claimed is "a head-disk interaction sensor integral with said flexure" (since the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding, In re Hotte, 177 USPQ 326, 328 (CCPA 1973)). And as broad as the term integral construed, the Imaino et al reference meets the limitations as described above in this office action. (see Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2627

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Varsha A. Kapadia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7557. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Tue and Thurs. from 6:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571 272 4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VK

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER